

# ID Trigger Validation Requirements

---

Mark Sutton  
University of Sussex

# Preface

- In order to make use of the same framework for Trigger and Offline performance measurements, the framework must be able to properly handle all use cases
  - Also if any aspect for either Offline or Trigger is more complicated ion pone case than the other, then the framework has to be **natively designed** to handle **the more complex** use case, with the more simple case handled using wrappers and specialisations.
  - For example:
    - Offline matching - generally more complex than that used in the trigger, ie the trigger generally is based on matching of track parameters,  $\eta$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $z_0$ , can use hit multiplicity etc, but generally **does not** use matching for specific hits
    - Trigger navigation - Orders or magnitude more complex than Offline analyses - requires analyses for different trigger chains, different instance of the tracking, different Rois, single leg triggers, tag-and-probe triggers etc.
- So how should the matching and event storage be handled ?
- Clearly cannot use an offline based model, since that hs no concept of different chains, Rois, different reference and test track collections, used within a run etc.
- How complex are we talking about for the typical Tier 0 analysis for any given run ? ...

# Typical Tier 0 analysis ...

- Analyses for chains produced using the TrigInDetAnalysis code ....

```
HLT_e14_idperf_tight_L1eEM9/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_FTF_HLT_Roi_FastElectron
HLT_e14_idperf_tight_L1eEM9/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_GSF
HLT_e14_idperf_tight_L1eEM9/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_IDTrig
HLT_e14_idperf_tight_nogsf_L1eEM9/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_FTF_HLT_Roi_FastElectron
HLT_e14_idperf_tight_nogsf_L1eEM9/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_IDTrig
HLT_e14_lhtight_e4_idperf_tight_probe_1invmAB5_L1JPSI-1M5-EM12/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_FTF_HLT_Roi_FastElectron
HLT_e14_lhtight_e4_idperf_tight_probe_1invmAB5_L1JPSI-1M5-EM12/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_GSF
HLT_e14_lhtight_e4_idperf_tight_probe_1invmAB5_L1JPSI-1M5-EM12/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_GSF_1
HLT_e14_lhtight_e4_idperf_tight_probe_1invmAB5_L1JPSI-1M5-EM12/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_GSF_1_el_probe
HLT_e14_lhtight_e4_idperf_tight_probe_1invmAB5_L1JPSI-1M5-EM12/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_IDTrig
HLT_e14_lhtight_e4_idperf_tight_probe_1invmAB5_L1JPSI-1M5-eEM15/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_FTF_HLT_Roi_FastElectron
HLT_e14_lhtight_e4_idperf_tight_probe_1invmAB5_L1JPSI-1M5-eEM15/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_GSF
HLT_e14_lhtight_e4_idperf_tight_probe_1invmAB5_L1JPSI-1M5-eEM15/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_GSF_1
HLT_e14_lhtight_e4_idperf_tight_probe_1invmAB5_L1JPSI-1M5-eEM15/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_GSF_1_el_probe
HLT_e14_lhtight_e4_idperf_tight_probe_1invmAB5_L1JPSI-1M5-eEM15/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_IDTrig
HLT_e20_idperf_loose_lrtloose_L1eEM18L/HLT_IDTrack_ElecLRT_FTF_HLT_Roi_FastElectron_LRT
HLT_e20_idperf_loose_lrtloose_L1eEM18L/HLT_IDTrack_ElecLRT_IDTrig_HLT_Roi_FastElectron_LRT
HLT_e26_idperf_tight_L1eEM26M/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_FTF_HLT_Roi_FastElectron
HLT_e26_idperf_tight_L1eEM26M/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_GSF
HLT_e26_idperf_tight_L1eEM26M/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_IDTrig
HLT_e26_lhtight_e14_idperf_tight_nogsf_probe_50invmAB130_L1eEM26M/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_FTF_HLT_Roi_FastElectron
HLT_e26_lhtight_e14_idperf_tight_nogsf_probe_50invmAB130_L1eEM26M/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_FTF_HLT_Roi_FastElectron_1
HLT_e26_lhtight_e14_idperf_tight_nogsf_probe_50invmAB130_L1eEM26M/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_FTF_HLT_Roi_FastElectron_1_el_probe
HLT_e26_lhtight_e14_idperf_tight_nogsf_probe_50invmAB130_L1eEM26M/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_IDTrig
HLT_e26_lhtight_e14_idperf_tight_nogsf_probe_50invmAB130_L1eEM26M/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_IDTrig_1
HLT_e26_lhtight_e14_idperf_tight_nogsf_probe_50invmAB130_L1eEM26M/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_IDTrig_1_el_probe
HLT_e26_lhtight_e14_idperf_tight_probe_50invmAB130_L1eEM26M/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_FTF_HLT_Roi_FastElectron
HLT_e26_lhtight_e14_idperf_tight_probe_50invmAB130_L1eEM26M/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_GSF
HLT_e26_lhtight_e14_idperf_tight_probe_50invmAB130_L1eEM26M/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_GSF_1
HLT_e26_lhtight_e14_idperf_tight_probe_50invmAB130_L1eEM26M/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_GSF_1_el_probe
HLT_e26_lhtight_e14_idperf_tight_probe_50invmAB130_L1eEM26M/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_IDTrig
HLT_e28_idperf_tight_L1eEM28M/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_FTF_HLT_Roi_FastElectron
HLT_e28_idperf_tight_L1eEM28M/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_GSF
HLT_e28_idperf_tight_L1eEM28M/HLT_IDTrack_Electron_IDTrig
```

- This is just a small subsample of the analyses that are configured for the ID Trigger Tier 0
  - In fact this is just for electrons, but it is **not even all the electron analyses**

# Tier 0 continued ...

- Why are there so many analyses ?
  - Perhaps monitoring too many different chains, but this is not really the issue ...
  - We have many different signatures to monitor ...
    - Electrons
    - Muons
    - Taus
    - B-jets
    - B-physics
    - Standard Jets
    - MinBias
    - Large Radius Tracking
      - Muons
      - Electrons
      - Taus
      - Fullscan Jets
- Many of these can use different reference collections:
  - Offline tracks or Offline large radius tracks, or combinations of both
  - Offline Electrons (offline electron tracks)
  - Offline Muons
  - Offline Taus tracks ( 1-prong, 3-prong )
  - ...
- But don't forget, for Monte Carlo analyses, (uses the Tier 0 framework also for for the PhysVal monitoring ) ...
  - General truth particles
  - Truth Muons
  - Truth Electrons
  - Tracks from truth Tau decays
  - Exotic particles
  - ...
- And above all, in the Trigger we have **several different track types in the trigger to evaluate**
  - Fast track finder tracks for each signature
  - Precision tracks for the Roi based triggers
  - GSF tracks for the electron chains
  - Full detector tracks for the jets, and b-jet preselection triggers
    - May be adding precision tracks for the b-jet preselection
  - MinBias tracks
  - Large radius tracks
  - Disappearing tracks
- Should not forget the vertices, but won't discuss them here

# Tier0 analysis summary ...

- In principle have
  - ~ 60 egamma analyses
    - 21 analyses of the FTF
    - 21 for the Precision tracking
    - 19 for the GSF tracking
  - ~ 142 muon based analyses
    - 40 Muon FTF
    - 40 Muon precision tracking
    - 2 MuonIso FTF - not muon tracking, but second stage muon isolation tracking (need more of these)
    - 2 MuonIso precision tracking
    - ( ~ around 40 are mu+tau tag and probe analyses, for TauCore\_FTF, Taulso\_FTF, Tau\_IDTrig )
  - ~ 30 tau analyses
    - 10 TauCore\_FTF - first stage tau reconstruction
    - 10 Taulso\_FTF - second stage tau reconstruction (in wider Roi, but with restricted z range at the beam line )
    - 10 Tau\_IDTrig
  - ~ 143 analyses of jets, either
    - FS jets
    - b-jet preselection
    - **b-jet FTF (Roi based)**
    - **b-jet Precision tracking (Roi Based)**
- The list goes on and on ...



# How to proceed

- Clearly, for the ID Trigger validation we need to reduce the number of chains that we monitor
- However, this is not a consideration, as even with **only a single chain for each type of analysis** we are still talking around **30 separate, distinct analyses**
- But a single chain would not be enough for each signature, or type of track collection, we have eg
  - low PT and high PT triggers
  - Triggers with preselection, trigger without preselection
  - Tag and probe triggers for the electrons, muon and taus
  - ...
- The **absolute minimum** number of analyses that we would need to support to have a full spectrum validation for the ID Trigger, **is around 90**
- Any matching / storage solution has to be able to natively, and efficiently store the matching information for this many analyses
- Even though we have too many analyses at the moment, the framework **still needs to be able to support this many**
  - We can not have a framework that does not scale with the number of analyses and imposes limits on the number of allowed analyses just because of easily avoidable sub-optimal design decisions
- As importantly, each of these analyses will potentially be running in their own threads, so any framework needs to be able to simultaneously read and write different analyses **at the same time**

# Storage information

- Because of the large number of potential analyses, then a matching / storage solution that stores information on **single global collections** is not workable
- Example:
  - Store a single offline / truth track collection - want to store the “matched” test tracks as “decorations” on this global collection
  - OK for any scenario with only a few separate analyses - eg with 5 analyses, could store a vector of 5 tracks links on each tracks, one for each analysis
  - For the trigger, this is a non-starter
    - Would need to have potential up to 90 track links for every track
    - In practice there would be far fewer per track, most tracks would not be in a give Roi never for a specific analysis, some analyses for a specific track type might have a link for trigger Chain A, but not trigger Chain B and so on,
    - However, to make it work for the trigger, we would need to store an entire trigger navigation tree as a decoration on a track, ie a complex, branching tree structure would need to be duplicated for every item in a simple vector
    - The tree structure could be simplified by compacting information such as trigger chain, Roi type, Roi number, track collection type into a single flag
      - But then decoding the flag would be non trivial
    - Could use a more complicated structure, but then need to store IDs of chains, Rois etc, all in the navigation “decoration”
    - ...
- The number of potential issues is large, but the potential for the “decorations” to be orders of magnitude larger than the original collection is plain.

# How to proceed

- So the general principle that has to be followed is that each analysis **will store its own matching information**
  - The structure of the different analyses, which track collections they need, and so on is **already stored** in each analysis, so this information does not need to be encoded elsewhere
- So the matching information must be stored with the analysis
- If people want to keep using decorations on the track collections then these decorations will need to be on a **copy of the reference track collection** for each specific analysis
  - Clearly using an actual copy is a non-starter - with over 90 potential analyses, we do not want 90 copies of the offline track collections
  - Would potentially need to use a ViewContainer on top of the reference tracks collection, with the matching track link information stored on the view container
- Alternatively can use a new structure consisting simply of a vector of pairs of element links
  - One link links back to the global Offline track collection
  - One link links to the matching track for the analysis
- It is more logical to store the simple matching information within the (already existing) complex structure storing each analysis, rather than have a complex structure for the analyses, and a yet more complex structure on the tracks themselves
- NB: The complexity is in the trigger use case, so the design needs to address the trigger use case in the first instance



# Example

- In the Trigger we make use of our own structured ntuple, Storing everything as

- Event
  - Chain
    - RoIs
      - Tracks

- Offline (or other full scan) collections are just single Roi “chains”, then we run all matching as part of the analysis

```
Event run: 451936      event: 243631408      lb: 179      bc: 1382      time: 1683855081      mu: 31.4157
Chain Offline rois: 1
  Roi z: 0 (-225 - 225) eta: 0 (-5 - 5) phi: 0 (-3.14159 - 3.14159) (fullscan) RoIid: 0 RoIword: 0 (size 0)
    [ eta=-2.29081 phi=-2.50252 z0=-21.2959 pT=-2.39158 GeV d0=0.0189982 hp=0xf8073 chi2=25.6459/21 algo=5 bl=2:t:f id=0x2c3bfb(
    [ eta=2.35076 phi=1.48694 z0=-78.1592 pT=-1.92857 GeV d0=0.604137 hp=0xf8077 chi2=15.2842/19 algo=5 bl=4:t:f id=0x2c3bfc(
    [ eta=2.29501 phi=1.60211 z0=-78.3974 pT=-3.93523 GeV d0=0.788655 hp=0x7c077 chi2=38.9437/18 algo=5 bl=2:t:f id=0x2c3bfe(
    [ eta=-2.30124 phi=0.163501 z0=33.2869 pT=1.22025 GeV d0=-0.242336 hp=0xf8037 chi2=24.6198/18 algo=5 bl=2:t:f id=0x2bc224(
    [ eta=2.22997 phi=1.71154 z0=-22.5707 pT=2.40036 GeV d0=0.688701 hp=0x7c037 chi2=19.6648/17 algo=5 bl=2:t:f id=0x2bc226(
    ...
Chain HLT_tau35_idperf_tracktwoMVA_L1TAU20IM:HLT_IDTrack_TauCore_FTF:HLT_Roi_TauCore rois: 1
  Roi z: 0 (-180 - 180) eta: -0.289879 (-0.389879 - -0.189879) phi: -1.07275 (-1.17275 - -0.97275) RoIid: 0 RoIword: 3184533290 (size 0)
    [ eta=-0.288919 phi=-1.13859 z0=-81.2384 pT=-3.11117 GeV d0=-0.0257964 hp=0x78f chi2=45.0065/11 algo=0 bl=2:f:f id=0x2bcaed(
    [ eta=0.0468482 phi=-1.03825 z0=-80.8669 pT=-1.93801 GeV d0=-0.0083678 hp=0x687 chi2=5.50693/7 algo=0 bl=2:f:f id=0x2bcaef00:0xffff:
    vertices: 0
Chain HLT_tau35_idperf_tracktwoMVA_L1TAU20IM:HLT_IDTrack_TauIso_FTF:HLT_Roi_TauIso rois: 1
  Roi z: -81.1912 (-88.1912 - -74.1912) eta: -0.288919 (-0.688919 - 0.111081) phi: -1.13862 (-1.53862 - -0.738619) RoIid: 0 RoIword: 3184533290 (size 0)
    [ eta=-0.147445 phi=-1.43065 z0=-80.8515 pT=-2.72107 GeV d0=0.0225279 hp=0x78b chi2=33.1896/11 algo=0 bl=2:f:f id=0x2bcacf0(
    [ eta=-0.112779 phi=-1.16351 z0=-80.8643 pT=2.6317 GeV d0=0.0501033 hp=0x78f chi2=8.1677/11 algo=0 bl=2:f:f id=0x2bcacf200:0xffff:
    [ eta=-0.288919 phi=-1.13859 z0=-81.2384 pT=-3.11117 GeV d0=-0.0257964 hp=0x78f chi2=45.0065/11 algo=0 bl=2:f:f id=0x2bcacf3(
    [ eta=0.037213 phi=-1.12606 z0=-74.919 pT=0.904538 GeV d0=0.0273292 hp=0x78f chi2=13.9531/10 algo=0 bl=2:f:f id=0x2bcacf5(
    [ eta=-0.239056 phi=-0.948416 z0=-80.9445 pT=8.02508 GeV d0=-0.0224689 hp=0x78f chi2=8.54364/10 algo=0 bl=2:f:f id=0x2bcacf6(
    [ eta=0.0468479 phi=-1.03825 z0=-80.8668 pT=-1.938 GeV d0=-0.00836786 hp=0x687 chi2=5.50759/7 algo=0 bl=2:f:f id=0x2bcacf800:0xffff:
    vertices: 0
Chain HLT_tau35_idperf_tracktwoMVA_L1TAU20IM:HLT_IDTrack_Tau_IDTrig:HLT_Roi_TauIso:HLT_IDVertex_Tau rois: 1
  Roi z: -81.1912 (-88.1912 - -74.1912) eta: -0.288919 (-0.688919 - 0.111081) phi: -1.13862 (-1.53862 - -0.738619) RoIid: 0 RoIword: 3184533290 (size 0)
    [ eta=-0.112448 phi=-1.16344 z0=-80.8762 pT=2.68571 GeV d0=0.0482012 hp=0x78f chi2=32.6678/37 algo=5 bl=2:t:f id=0x2bcacf9(
    [ eta=0.0367936 phi=-1.12508 z0=-74.8799 pT=0.874409 GeV d0=0.00916009 hp=0x78f chi2=8.21185/10 algo=5 bl=2:t:f id=0x2bcacfb(
    [ eta=-0.238882 phi=-0.94782 z0=-80.9532 pT=8.0649 GeV d0=-0.0463545 hp=0x787 chi2=45.6846/40 algo=5 bl=2:t:f id=0x2bcacfc(
    [ eta=-0.145276 phi=-1.42906 z0=-81.0089 pT=-2.86676 GeV d0=-0.0248162 hp=0x78b chi2=14.1884/18 algo=5 bl=2:t:f id=0x2bcacfe(
    [ eta=-0.287456 phi=-1.13657 z0=-81.2024 pT=-3.39313 GeV d0=-0.0913213 hp=0x783 chi2=48.3158/43 algo=5 bl=2:t:f id=0x2b2380(
    [ eta=0.0473358 phi=-1.03859 z0=-80.8587 pT=-1.88952 GeV d0=-0.00156973 hp=0x687 chi2=35.6555/37 algo=5 bl=2:t:f id=0x2b2381(
    vertices: 1
    [ x=-0.610656 +- 8.11367e-05 y=-0.455104 +- 9.46898e-05 z=-80.9565 +- 0.00199777; chi2=16.0513/5 Ntracks=4 ]
    ...
Event run: 451936      event: 243652967      lb: 179      bc: 2393      time: 1683855081      mu: 31.4157
Chain Offline rois: 1
  Roi z: 0 (-225 - 225) eta: 0 (-5 - 5) phi: 0 (-3.14159 - 3.14159) (fullscan) RoIid: 0 RoIword: 0 (size 0)
    [ eta=2.38643 phi=-3.0461 z0=-105.773 pT=-1.49582 GeV d0=0.313794 hp=0xf8077 chi2=29.8194/17 algo=5 bl=2:t:f id=0x2bc449(
    [ eta=1.8597 phi=1.30561 z0=38.9517 pT=1.99475 GeV d0=0.475224 hp=0x1f017 chi2=37.4124/45 algo=5 bl=2:t:f id=0x2bc440(
    [ eta=-2.09749 phi=-1.97597 z0=-99.251 pT=-1.06332 GeV d0=-0.529055 hp=0x3c073 chi2=12.6338/15 algo=5 bl=2:t:f id=0x2bc43e(
    [ eta=2.06983 phi=2.43767 z0=65.28 pT=1.1787 GeV d0=0.568255 hp=0x3c037 chi2=28.128/15 algo=5 bl=2:t:f id=0x2bc43c80:0xffff(
    [ eta=-1.50637 phi=-1.86226 z0=-101.425 pT=-1.1358 GeV d0=-0.415735 hp=0x780f chi2=39.8166/50 algo=5 bl=2:t:f id=0x2bc43b(
```

# So ...

- For the Filter offline tracks to within the Rois, match Roi tracks with the (filtered) offline tracks etc)
- If you want to store the actual matching information, then we would clearly need to encapsulate this information into the structure of the storage, i.e. Store information by
  - **Event**
    - (Global collections)
    - **Analysis**
      - Reference tracks View (with decorations to the matched test tracks)
      - Test tracks
- Or ...
  - **Analysis**
    - Test tracks
    - Matching structure (pointing to global collection and Test tracks)
- How this should best be done is up for discussion, but any other proposal would need to efficiently handle the Trigger use case as the primary consideration, with offline as a simplified case, and not the other way around