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The set of transitions


There are arcs between places and transitions labeled with natural numbers.
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Problem
Given a Petri net and its two configuraions decide if one is reachable from the another.
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Can we reach 5 tokens in $p_{1}$ and 4 tokens in $p_{2}$ from the above configuration?
No! The number of tokens in $p_{2}$ is always odd.

## The reachability problem

Theorem
The reachability problem for Petri nets is Ackermann-complete.

## Fast growing functions and induced complexity classes
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$\mathcal{F}_{i}$ is a class of all decision problems, that can be solved in time $A_{i} \circ A_{i_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ A_{i_{m}}$, where $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}<i$.
$\mathcal{F}_{2}=\operatorname{DTIME}\left(2^{O(n)}\right)$
$\mathcal{F}_{3}=$ TOWER
$\mathcal{F}_{\omega}=$ ACKERMANN
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## Problem

Is the reachability problem decidable for Petri nets with data?
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