



Physical and cosmological implications of non-minimal geometry-matter couplings

12th Bolyai-Gauss-Lobachevsky Conference (BGL-2024), May 2nd

Miguel A. S. Pinto





para a Ciência e a Tecnologia







Funded by the **European Union**





Funding by FCT: UIDB/04434/2020, UIDP/04434/2020, PTDC/FIS-AST/0054/2021, UI/BD/154479/2022

NSION





Carl Friedrich Gauss (1777–1855), Johann Bolyai (1802–1860) and Nikolai Lobachevsky (1792–1856)



1915: General Relativity (GR)

A drastic change in the paradigm of gravitational physics





Credits: NRAO

There are some shortcomings in both GR and Λ CDM Model:

 \mathbf{X} Λ CDM does not explain the nature of dark matter and dark energy



J. P. Hu and F. Y. Wang, Universe 9 (2023) no.2, 94 [arXiv:2302.05709 [astro-ph.CO]] \mathbf{X} Hubble Tension - a discrepancy between early-time and late-time measurements of H_0

X GR lacks uniqueness – The Geometrical Trinity of Gravity

J. Beltrán Jiménez, L. Heisenberg and T. S. Koivisto, Universe 5 (2019) no.7, 173 [arXiv:1903.06830 [hep-th]]



26.8%

68.3%

Author 4.9%

Dark Matter



Are these problems hints that new physics is needed?

There are many paths to choose...



One of them is modifying gravity!

Physical motivations for such modifications of gravity:

- To explain the dark components of the universe;
- To deal with the theoretical issues of GR;
- To provide a more realistic representation of the gravitational fields near curvature singularities;
- To set a viable framework for the quantization of the gravitational interaction.

J. F. Donoghue, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994), 3874-3888 [arXiv:gr-qc/9405057 [gr-qc]]



Lovelock's Theorem (1971):

The Einstein Field Equations are the only equations of motion that come from a (local) gravitational action with 2nd order derivatives of the metric tensor in 4 dimensions.

Consequence: to modifiy the Einstein Field Equations, we can



And that is how one does Modified Gravity!



Minimal Coupling Theory

$$S = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \sqrt{-g} \, (\text{Geometry} + \text{Matter}) d^4 x$$

Matter - Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_m(g_{\mu\nu}, \Psi, \partial \Psi)$

Flat Minkowski space-time $\eta_{\mu\nu} \longrightarrow$ General space-time $g_{\mu\nu}$

Partial derivative $\partial_{\mu} \longrightarrow$ Covariant derivative ∇_{μ} that contains the affine connection coefficients

f(R) gravity

$$S = \frac{c^4}{16\pi G} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \sqrt{-g} \left(f(R) + \frac{16\pi G}{c^4} \mathcal{L}_m(g_{\mu\nu}, \Psi, \partial \Psi) \right) d^4x$$



NMGM Coupling Theory

$$S = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \sqrt{-g} \,(\text{Geometry} \times \text{Matter}) d^4x$$

Non-minimal couplings between geometry and matter are terms that **directly** connect geometrical quantities to matter fields

Example:
$$f(\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{L}_m)$$
 gravity $S = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \sqrt{-g} f(\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{L}_m) d^4 x$ T. Harko and F. S. N. Lobo, Eur. Phys. J. C 70 (2010), 373-379 [arXiv:1008.4193 [gr-qc]]

f(R,T)

T. Harko, F. S. N. Lobo, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011), 024020 [arXiv:1104.2669 [gr-qc]] $f(R, T, R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu})$

Z. Haghani, T. Harko, F. S. N. Lobo, H. R. Sepangi and S. Shahidi, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) no.4, 044023 [arXiv:1304.5957 [gr-qc]].



Important consequence:

Non-conservation of the (matter) energy-momentum tensor — An extra force appear

 $\nabla^{\mu}T_{\mu\nu}\neq 0$

Violation of the Equivalence Principle (EP)?

 $f^{\mu} \neq 0$

An alternative to dark matter?

Seems to be a reason to rule out these theories, but...

The EP is a heuristic hypothesis introduced by Einstein to construct GR ٠

T. Damour, Class. Quant. Grav. 13 (1996), A33-A42 [arXiv:gr-gc/9606080 [gr-gc]]

Dark Energy-Dark Matter Interaction and the Violation of the Equivalence Principle from the Abell Cluster A586

O. Bertolami, F. Gil Pedro and M. Le Delliou, Phys. Lett. B 654 (2007), 165-169 [arXiv:astro-ph/0703462 [astro-ph]]



One of Abell Clusters



String theory predict a scalar particle, the so-called dilaton, that also mediates the gravitational interaction, with a gravitational coupling such that it violates the EP!

Many observable consequences:

- Non-universality of free fall;
- Variation of fundamental "constants";
- Relative drift of atomic clocks.







Some recent papers/results on the literature:

- 2014 Thermodynamic interpretation of the generalized gravity models with NMGM couplings T. Harko, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014) no.4, 044067 [arXiv:1408.3465 [gr-qc]]
- 2018 Investigation of compact stars in $f(R, T_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu})$ gravity N. Nari and M. Roshan, Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) no.2, 024031 [arXiv:1802.02399 [gr-gc]]
- 2020 Investigation of inflationary scenarios in f(R,T) gravity

S. Bhattacharjee, J. R. L. Santos, P. H. R. S. Moraes and P. K. Sahoo, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 135 (2020) no.7, 576 [arXiv:2006.04336 [gr-qc]]

2021 – Cosmological solutions in scalar-tensor f(R,T) gravity

T. B. Gonçalves, J. L. Rosa and F. S. N. Lobo, Phys. Rev. D 105 (2022) no.6, [arXiv:2112.02541 [gr-qc]]

2023 - Traversable wormhole in $f(R, T_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu})$ gravity without exotic matter

J. L. Rosa, N. Ganiyeva and F. S. N. Lobo, Eur. Phys. J. C 83 (2023) no.11, 1040 [arXiv:2309.08768 [gr-qc]]

Time





- Non-minimal curvature-matter couplings induce particle production $T = g^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu}$
- We have a cosmology in which the Universe gradually builds up entropy as particles are created;
- The scalar-tensor f(R,T) gravity can explain the late time acceleration without dark energy.



MCMC analysis with Pantheon+ and H(z) datasets

A. Bouali et al., Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 526 (2023), 4192-4208 [arXiv:2309.15497 [gr-qc]]

Model	$\chi^2_{\rm tot}{}^{min}$	$\chi^2_{\rm red}$	$\kappa_{\rm f}$	AIC _c	ΔAIC_c	BIC	ΔBIC
ΛCDM	1656.4528	0.943848	3	1662.4664	0	1678.87	0
f(R,T) Model 1	1647.2268	0.9396	5	1657.2610	-5.20541	1684.59	5.71786
f(R,T) Model 2	1654.4114	0.94376	5	1664.4456	1.97916	1691.77	12.9025

Table 2. Summary of $\chi_{tot}^{2 \ min}$, χ_{red}^{2} , AIC_c , ΔAIC_c , BIC, and ΔBIC for Λ CDM and f(R, T) models.

• f(R,T) Model 1 is observationally more supported than Lambda CDM model







• Same conclusions of the first work – consistency!





Credits: Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration

- It looks like black holes exist...
- But they display some problems (singularities, closed timelike curves, etc...)
- A quantum theory of gravity aims to solve these issues, but we still do not have such a theory in our hands...
- What can we do? Regular black hole phenomenology!

Generates regular black hole geometry

+
$$f(R,T)$$
 gravity

A possible link to quantum gravity

 $\mathrm{AdS}_3/\mathrm{CFT}_2$

Regular black hole solutions in (2+1)-dimensional f(R, T) gravity coupled to nonlinear electrodynamics

(in collaboration with Roberto V. Maluf)



In 2+1 dimensions, the action of f(R,T) gravity is given by: $S = \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} \left| \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} f(R,T) + L(F) \right|$

$$\kappa^{2} = 8\pi \tilde{G} \qquad T = g^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu} \qquad T_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta\left(\sqrt{-g}L(F)\right)}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} = L(F)g_{\mu\nu} - 4L_{F}F_{\mu}^{\ \alpha}F_{\nu\alpha} \qquad L_{F} = \frac{dL}{dF}$$

Modified Field Equations: $f_R R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}f(R,T) + (g_{\mu\nu}\nabla^{\alpha}\nabla_{\alpha} - \nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu})f_R = \kappa^2 T_{\mu\nu} - f_T (T_{\mu\nu} + \Theta_{\mu\nu})$

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu} = g^{\rho\sigma} \frac{\delta T_{\rho\sigma}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} = -L(F)g_{\mu\nu} + 2\left(L_F - 4L_{FF}F\right)F_{\mu}^{\ \alpha}F_{\nu\alpha}$$

Gauge Fie

eld Equations:
$$\nabla^{\alpha} \left[L_F F_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} f_T \left(L_F + 4L_{FF} F \right) F_{\alpha\beta} \right] = 0$$







We propose a generalized form for the electric field that recovers Maxwell in the asymptotic limit.

$$E(r) = \frac{qr^{\alpha}}{\left(r^{\beta} + a^{\beta}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\beta}}}$$

Simplest case: $a = \alpha = 0$ $\beta = 1$

$$E(r) = \frac{q}{r} \implies L(r) = \frac{2q^2}{r^2} - \frac{2c_1\lambda}{(2\kappa^2 + 3\lambda)} \frac{1}{r^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\kappa^2}{\lambda}}} + c_2 \implies L(r) = -F = 2E^2(r) = \frac{2q^2}{r^2}$$

$$b(r) = -M - \Lambda r^2 - 2\left(2\kappa^2 - \lambda\right)q^2 \ln r \quad \Longrightarrow^{\lambda = 2\kappa^2} \quad b(r) = -M - \Lambda r^2$$

Charged BTZ Solution

BTZ Solution





• **GR**
$$(\lambda = 0)$$
: $\frac{L'}{4E'} = \frac{q}{r}$ $b(r) = -M - \Lambda r^2 + 2\kappa^2 \int r \left[L(F(r)) + 4E^2(r)L_F \right] dr$

Let us obtain the general solutions assuming

$$E(r) = \frac{qr^{\alpha}}{\left(r^{\beta} + a^{\beta}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\beta}}}$$

$$\begin{split} L(r) &= -\frac{2q^2}{a^2} + \frac{4q^2r^{\alpha-1}}{\left(\alpha+\beta-1\right)\left(r^\beta+a^\beta\right)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\beta}}} \left[\frac{\alpha(\alpha+\beta-1)}{\alpha-1} \,_2F_1\left(1,-\frac{2}{\beta};\frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{\beta};-\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^\beta\right) \right] \\ &- \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^\beta \,_2F_1\left(1,\frac{\beta-2}{\beta};\frac{\alpha-1}{\beta}+2;-\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^\beta\right)\right] \quad \alpha > 1,\beta > 0 \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{To guarantee the convergence of} \\ \text{the hypergeometric function} \end{array}$$

$$b(r) = -M - \left(\Lambda + \frac{2\kappa^2 q^2}{a^2}\right)r^2 - 4\kappa^2 q^2 \int dr \frac{r^{\alpha}}{\left(r^{\beta} + a^{\beta}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\beta}}} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha-1}\right) \,_2F_1\left(1, -\frac{2}{\beta}; \frac{\alpha+\beta-1}{\beta}; -\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{\beta}\right)\right]$$





• **GR** $(\lambda = 0)$:

Case
$$\alpha = \beta = 2$$

$$E(r) = \frac{qr^2}{(r^2 + a^2)^{3/2}} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad L(r) = \frac{2q^2 \left[2r^3 + 4ra^2 - (r^2 + a^2)^{3/2}\right]}{a^2(r^2 + a^2)^{3/2}}$$

$$b(r) = -M - \left(\Lambda + \frac{2\kappa^2 q^2}{a^2}\right)r^2 + 4\kappa^2 q^2 \left[\frac{r}{a^2}\sqrt{r^2 + a^2} - \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}}\right)\right]$$

$$r
ightarrow \infty$$
 $L(r)
ightarrow 2 rac{q^2}{a^2}$ Does not recover Maxwell $imes$







Figure 1: Metric coefficient for the regular black holes given from Eq. (34), as a function of the radial coordinate, for some values of a and q, with M = 5.0 and $\Lambda = -20$ in Planck units.



• **GR** $(\lambda = 0)$:

(

Case
$$\alpha = \beta = 2$$

 $R = 6\Lambda + \frac{4\kappa^2 q^2 \left[3\left(a^2 + r^2\right)^{3/2} - 8a^2r - 6r^3\right]}{a^2 \left(r^2 + a^2\right)^{3/2}}$

$$K = 4 \left\{ 3\Lambda^{2} + \frac{4\Lambda\kappa^{2}q^{2} \left[3\left(r^{2} + a^{2}\right)^{3/2} - 8a^{2}r - 6r^{3} \right]}{a^{2} \left(r^{2} + a^{2}\right)^{3/2}} + \frac{4\kappa^{4}q^{4} \left[41a^{2}r^{4} + 33a^{4}r^{2} + 3a^{6} + 15r^{6} - 4r\left(3r^{2} + 4a^{2}\right)\left(r^{2} + a^{2}\right)^{3/2} \right]}{a^{4} \left(r^{2} + a^{2}\right)^{3}} \right\}$$

Both Ricci and Kretschmann scalars do not diverge!





How can the Lagrangian have a Maxwell-like behavior?

$$\lim_{r \to +\infty} L(r) = \frac{2q^2}{a^2} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\beta+2}{\beta}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha-1}{\beta}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha+1}{\beta}\right)} - 1 \right) - \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \text{constant} & \alpha \neq \beta + 1 & \alpha > 1, \beta > 0 \\ \\ \hline 0 & \alpha = \beta + 1 & \text{(Maxwell)} \end{array} \right.$$

$$E(r) = \frac{qr^{\beta+1}}{\left(r^{\beta} + a^{\beta}\right)^{\frac{\beta+2}{\beta}}}, \quad L(r) = \frac{2q^2\left(r^{\beta} - a^{\beta}\right)}{\left(r^{\beta} + a^{\beta}\right)^{\frac{\beta+2}{\beta}}}$$

$$b(r) = -M - \Lambda r^2 - 2\kappa^2 q^2 \frac{r^2}{a^2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{2}{\beta}, \frac{2}{\beta}; \frac{\beta+2}{\beta}; -\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{\beta}\right)$$



Miguel A. S. Pinto



β	Electric field $E(r)$	Lagrangian $L(r)$	Metric function $b(r)$	References
1	$\frac{qr^2}{(r+a)^3}$	$\frac{2q^2(r-a)}{(r+a)^3}$	$-M-\Lambda r^2+rac{4\kappa^2q^2r}{r+a}-4\kappa^2q^2\ln\left(rac{r+a}{a} ight)$	Case III [2]
2	$\frac{qr^3}{(r^2+a^2)^2}$	$\frac{2q^2(r^2-a^2)}{(r^2+a^2)^2}$	$-M-\Lambda r^2-2\kappa^2 q^2 \ln\left(rac{r^2}{a^2}+1 ight)$	Case II [1, 2]
4	$\frac{qr^5}{(r^4+a^4)^{3/2}}$	$rac{2q^2(r^4-a^4)}{(r^4+a^4)^{3/2}}$	$-M-\Lambda r^2-2\kappa^2q^2\sinh^{-1}\left(rac{r^2}{a^2} ight)$	Case IV [2]
$\frac{1}{2}$	$rac{qr^{3/2}}{\left(\sqrt{r}+\sqrt{a} ight)^5}$	$rac{2q^2ig(\sqrt{r}-\sqrt{a}ig)}{ig(\sqrt{r}+\sqrt{a}ig)^5}$	$-M - \Lambda r^2 + \frac{4\kappa^2 q^2 \sqrt{r} \left(15\sqrt{ar} + 6a + 11r\right)}{3\left(\sqrt{r} + \sqrt{a}\right)^3} - 8\kappa^2 q^2 \ln\left(\sqrt{\frac{r}{a}} + 1\right)$	Maluf et al.
$\left \frac{2}{n-1}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 1\right.$	$\frac{\frac{qr^{\frac{n+1}{n-1}}}{\left(r^{\frac{2}{n-1}}+a^{\frac{2}{n-1}}\right)^n}$	$\frac{2q^2\left(r^{\frac{2}{n-1}}-a^{\frac{2}{n-1}}\right)}{\left(a^{\frac{2}{n-1}}+r^{\frac{2}{n-1}}\right)^n}$	Logarithmic term $\sim \ln\left[\left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{\frac{2}{n-1}} + 1\right]$	Maluf et al.

Table I: Incomplete List of some NLED models.

[1] M. Cataldo and A. Garcia, Phys. Rev. D 61, 084003 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0004177 [hep-th]]

[2] Y. He and M. S. Ma, Phys. Lett. B 774, 229-234 (2017) [arXiv:1709.09473 [gr-qc]]



Ongoing work: Regular black holes in (2+1)-dimensional f(R,T) gravity



•
$$f(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{T})$$
 gravity $(\lambda \neq \mathbf{0})$: $b(r) = -M - \Lambda r^2 + 2\kappa^2 \int r \left[L(F(r)) + 4E^2(r)L_F \right] dr + \lambda \int r \left[3L(F(r)) + 4E^2(r) \left(L_F + 8E^2(r)L_{FF} \right) \right] dr$
$$\frac{L'}{4E'} + \frac{\lambda}{4\kappa^2} \left(\frac{L'}{2E'} - \frac{EL''}{E'^2} + \frac{EL'E''}{E'^3} \right) = \frac{q}{r} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{2\kappa^2} \right)$$

$$\frac{Case \ \beta = 2}{(r) = \frac{qr^3}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}} = \frac{L(r) = c_1 + \frac{2q^2(r^2 - a^2)}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} + \frac{2c_2\lambda r^{\frac{9}{2} + \frac{3\kappa^2}{\lambda}} (r^2 + a^2)^{-3 - \frac{2\kappa^2}{\lambda}}}{2\kappa^2 + 3\lambda} + \frac{32\lambda q^2}{6\kappa^2 + 5\lambda} \int \frac{r(r^2 - 3a^2)}{(r^2 + a^2)^3} {}_2F_1\left(-\frac{3\kappa^2}{2\lambda} - \frac{5}{4}, -\frac{2\kappa^2}{\lambda}; -\frac{(6\kappa^2 + \lambda)}{4\lambda}; -\frac{r^2}{a^2}\right) dr$$

$$b(r) = -M - \Lambda r^{2} + \frac{4\lambda q^{2}a^{2}}{r^{2} + a^{2}} - \left(2\kappa^{2} - \lambda\right)q^{2}\ln\left(\frac{r^{2}}{a^{2}} + 1\right)$$

$$+ \int^{r} \left[\frac{64\lambda^{2}q^{2}r''^{3}}{\left(6\kappa^{2} + 5\lambda\right)\left(r''^{2} + a^{2}\right)^{2}}{}_{2}F_{1}\left(1, \frac{\kappa^{2}}{2\lambda} - \frac{1}{4}; -\frac{\left(6\kappa^{2} + \lambda\right)}{4\lambda}; -\frac{r''^{2}}{a^{2}}\right)\right]$$

$$+ \left(2\kappa^{2} + 3\lambda\right)r'' \int^{r''} \frac{32\lambda q^{2}r'\left(r'^{2} - 3a^{2}\right)}{\left(6\kappa^{2} + 5\lambda\right)\left(r'^{2} + a^{2}\right)^{3}}{}_{2}F_{1}\left(1, \frac{\kappa^{2}}{2\lambda} - \frac{1}{4}; -\frac{\left(6\kappa^{2} + \lambda\right)}{4\lambda}; -\frac{r'^{2}}{a^{2}}\right)dr'\right]dr''$$



E(

Miguel A. S. Pinto



- There is a lot of work being published regarding non-minimal geometry-matter couplings;
- They can provide interesting physical phenomena, such as gravitationally induced particle production, late-time acceleration without dark energy and an extra force alternative to dark matter;
- In the regular black holes case, the non-minimal coupling introduces interesting effects, such as the modification of the electric charge, which does not happen in GR;
- There is currently a lot of discussion about whether these theories are relevant to cosmology or not.

Köszönöm! Questions?