


Personal situation

Have you already paid the workshop fee?

Answered: 57

A. Yes: 10 (17.54%)

B. No: 47 (82.46%)



Have you already booked the trip to Lecce?

Answered: 56

A. Yes: 3 (5.36%)

B. No: 53 (94.64%)



Have you already reserved your accomodation in Lecce?

Answered: 56

A. Yes: 6 (10.71%)

B. No: 50 (89.29%)



Have you already got the approval of your travel request from your institution?

Answered: 55

A. Yes: 18 (32.73%)

B. No: 37 (67.27%)



What kind of BTTB participant are you?

Answered: 58


A. Speaker: 33 (55.93%)

B. Attendee (no talk assigned): 14 (23.73%)

C. Lecturer: 1 (1.69%)

D. Organizer: 10 (16.95%)

E. Other: 1 (1.69%)



BTTB10 choice

If the workshop dates stay unchanged (31 Jan-4Feb) and we keep the hybrid participation mode, do you (realistically) think your institution will allow you to travel to Lecce?

Answered:
58

A. Yes: 8 (13.79%)

B. No: 27 (46.55%)

C. Maybe: 8 (13.79%)

D. I don't know: 1 (1.72%)

E. I was not planning to come to Lecce (remote participation): 7 (12.07%)

F. Doesn't apply to my case: 7 (12.07%)



What would you think if the workshop dates stay unchanged (31 Jan-4Feb) but we eventually opt for remote-only participation?

Answered:
58

A. I would like it: 10 (17.24%)

B. I would not like it: 29 (50.00%)

C. Nothing changes for me: 18 (31.03%)

D. No selection: 1 (1.72%)



If you answered "I would not like it" to the previous question, and you want to explain why, please use this space

Answers

d: 17

The spirit of the BTTB workshop doesn't really work remotely

We had last year as virtual, and compared to previous years much was missing.

Everything is booked and some of it nonrefundable

People are getting tired of remote meetings and the level of attention is dropping further and further (imho), moreover the added value of a workshop is the discussion with the speakers in an informal way after "working hours"

I will have to solve reimbursement for the purchase of the flight tickets, which are not returnable. However, this can be solved.

I think is really important to at least try to have a hybrid-mode workshop, therefore I think that by postponing it we could see an improved Covid situation and give the chance to some of us to take part in BTTB in person

These workshops are meant for personal interaction

A central part of any previous BTTB is their personal exchange with other, which does not work remotely

meeting colleagues on site would be much more attractive option for me

A big point of workshops like these is to meet people, and have informal discussions. This has proved rather impossible over Zoom.

I would prefer the dates change to have it in person at a later date.

i would participate for exchange with people, difficult remotely

I find online-only meetings to be far less useful due to: lack of informal discussion, lack of networking, lack of feedback, difficulty to follow

Due to the many lectures present, I think the conference will suffer from the remote-only participation

I anyhow want to attend remote only

I would greatly appreciate the Conference be hybrid/in person

I think the "in person" event is much more enjoyable than full remote one. I anyway understand that it may be hindered by the current situation.

What would you think if we move the workshop to summer and keep the hybrid participation mode? Answered: 58

A. I would like it: 41 (70.69%)

B. I would not like it: 6 (10.34%)

C. Nothing changes for me: 7 (12.07%)

D. No selection: 4 (6.90%)



If you answered "I would not like it" to the previous question, and you want to explain why, please use this space

Answers
d: 8

Too much overlap with other activities e.g. test beams

Same answer as above

I would rather have the workshop now

Will probably not have a chance to attend summer version of the meeting due to the collision with DESY testbeam.

I would prefer this to a remote-only option, but my preferred option would be for the workshop to proceed on the original dates in hybrid mode. Into the Summer could potentially cause scheduling conflicts and personal uncertainty as to whether I will still be in my current position at that time.

It's not very likely that I would attend in person.

The current dates work better for my schedule

It may collide with test beam activities (depending on the evolution of the situation)

In case the workshop is moved to summer, would you have other scientific gatherings that might overlap in time? If yes, can you please indicate possible clash dates?

Answer:

red:

21

No. June 13 up to August 20 would work for me.

Internship from April to June

22-28 May, Pisa meeting advanced detectors

N/A

DESY testbeam between June 13 and 26, 2022

No.

All ok up to July 9.

15th Pisa Meeting 2022: 15th Pisa Meeting on Advanced Detectors, 22-28 May 2022

no as far as I know, depends on when in the summer

No

no

CREMLINplus Detector School: July 04-15

01.08.2022-07.08.2022

possible test beam in July, dates are unknown so far

no

No

IPAC22 June 12-17; MEDSI 2022 Date unclear

4-15 July, late August/early September (other event that has been postponed with not precise dates)

Maybe, not yet fixed

4-15 July

In case it's needed, would you have difficulties in getting your travel arrangements (trip and accomodation) rescheduled or refunded? (Remember: the conference fee can always be refunded)

Answered:
58

A. Yes: 1 (1.72%)

B. No: 21 (36.21%)

C. Maybe: 1 (1.72%)

D. I don't know: 2 (3.45%)

E. It's not needed: 27 (46.55%)

F. No selection: 6 (10.34%)



Finally, based on your personal preference, what would be your favorite option?

Answered:


58

A. Keep current dates (31Jan-4Feb) and current participation mode (hybrid): 9 (15.52%)

B. Keep current dates (31Jan-4Feb) but go full remote: 8 (13.79%)

C. Move BTTB to summer and keep the hybrid participation mode: 36 (62.07%)

D. No selection: 5 (8.62%)



Please use this space to leave any other comment/opinion

Answered: 7

Realisticly, with CERN on red awarness level and several insitutes at the same policy, there is no way a hybrid organization at the end of january will result in any in-person participants. The aim of the workshop is to also train new students and post-docs and alow in-preson discussions, which i am not sure will be effective in a remote environment.

I understand the difficulty of situation and the necessity of decision to make. Summer date might give me an opportunity to come in person + enjoy better weather, but the future is always unclear. From my point of view, all the three options are good ones!

Preferred option: go to summer and have everybody on site.

In case of a moving into summer, would it be possible to change the registration from remote participation to in place?

I know it's a very difficult period in Italy and I think the current partecipation mode is quite risky. Anyway, I appreciate your work and also the survey: thank you very much.

As allready indicated I'd greatly appreciate if the conference would be held in in hybrid mode

I had originally planned to come in person, but at the moment --due to COVID-19-- travel to Italy is not possible due to federal/institute regulations.

A few people had technical difficulties and were not able to fill the survey. They sent their opinion to us directly via email. Here we (anonymously) report what we received.

<<

I would obviously prefer delaying the workshop to a time when people could meet in person. In the last years I have attended a number of virtual workshops but the experience has in general not been great, and quite disappointing compared with the real thing. But, of course, then it will depend on what dates are chosen if I and others are able to attend...

>>

<<

I joined the last couple of BTTBs either as speaker or co-author.

The uniqueness of the BTTB was always its "family" feeling. In my case being able to present an Irradiation facility - where we worked again hard to improve it - to young colleagues and find new ways to attract them as users. As well as getting an update on the work from my colleagues at PSI, Desy, Fermilab.... to see what they have improved over the last year. In no other conference you can go in the same level of technical details of the various improvements. Chatting to young colleagues over lunch about their work, gives valuable input in planning the future of my facility.

Last year most of this was missing.

Being a virtual conference only, means I can not de-couple from my daily work. I'm most likely double or tripple booked with meetings and will only be able to join a small fraction of the presentations. Worst case only give my own presentation and then being drowned in work.

For the choices :

Giving the current development, I strongly doubt the I will be able to travel end of January (CERN entering CoVid RED just next Monday) - even fully vaccinated and boosted.

So while this would be my preferred option, the current trend would need to change drastically in the next days for this to be realistic.

For summer - yes there is a risk that this conflicts with our own beam time schedule, and I might not be able to come either.

Still prefer this to a pure virtual one.

A spring option (April) - before the CERN accelerator chain fully starts would be the best option for me.

I assume this is not on the table yet. But as I said, I could not read the survey to its end.

>>

<<

In summer I have test beams, a school, shifts and I'm not sure I can be there physically. Maybe though we can try it and keep the hybrid form in summer

>>